

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/647,784	Applicant(s) RASANEN, JUHA	
	Examiner Robert C. Scheibel	Art Unit 2616	

All Participants:

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(2) Christine McCarthy (Reg. No. 41,844).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 January 2007

Time: 1:00 PM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10, 27, 30, 38, 39

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner requested some changes to the claims for clarity. Applicant agreed to these changes as documented in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Robert C. Scheibel 1-22-07

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)